

NO. 24564

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

---

BETTY BUIE BROOKS, Petitioner,

vs.

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL, Respondent.

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING

ORDER DISMISSING PETITION

(By: Moon, C.J., Levinson, Nakayama,  
Ramil, and Acoba, JJ.)

By letter dated August 24, 2001, complaining witness Betty Buie Brooks petitions for review of the dismissal of ODC 6731. The dismissal of ODC 6731 is not subject to review. See Rule 2, Rules of the Supreme Court of the State of Hawaii; *In re Disciplinary Board*, 91 Hawaii 363, 368, 984 P.2d 688, 673 (1999) ( Under Rule 2, complaining witnesses are not parties to disciplinary cases and they have no standing under Rule 2 to seek review of ODC or Disciplinary Board decisions ); *cf. Akinaka v. Disciplinary Board*, 91 Hawaii 51, 58, 979 P.2d 1077, 1084 (1999) (complaining witness does not have standing to compel initiation of disciplinary proceedings). It further appears that Petitioner Brooks did not pay a filing fee for submission of her petition. Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk shall file Brooks request as an original proceeding without prepayment of the filing fee. See HRS 607-3.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Brooks petition is dismissed.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, September 24, 2001.